



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/982,733	10/18/2001	Joshua D. Karnes	M-12001 US	7827
33031	7590	05/17/2004	EXAMINER	
CAMPBELL STEPHENSON ASCOLESE, LLP			VORTMAN, ANATOLY	
4807 SPICEWOOD SPRINGS RD.			ART UNIT	
BLDG. 4, SUITE 201			PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX 78759			2835	

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/982,733		KARNES ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Anatoly Vortman		2835	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Examiner Anatoly Vortman / AU 2835. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Justin M. Dillon, Reg. No. 42,486. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 13 May 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 18, 33 and 69.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant had presented the arguments regarding the 35 USC 112 paragraph 1 rejection in the outstanding Final Office Action and had attempted to explain how the disclosure supports the claimed subject matter. The Examiner was not persuaded by the Applicant's rationale and suggested to submit written After Final Response with proposed amendments to the claims for consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 7.13.04): If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

**ANATOLY VORTMAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

A. Vale  
Examiner's signature, if required